Methods of Sulfur Analysis in Wetlands, and Applications to Studies of Mercury Biogeochemistry

<u>William H. Orem¹</u>, Anne L. Bates¹, Tiffani Schell¹, Anne Scott¹, Carl Fitz², George R. Aiken^{3*}, Brett Poulin³ and David P. Krebbenhoft⁴

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA
²EcoLandMod, Inc., Fort Pierce, FL, USA
³U.S. Geological Survey, Boulder, CO, USA
⁴U.S. Geological Survey, Middleton, WI, USA
*deceased

12th International Symposium on Biogeochemistry of Wetlands April 23-26, 2018 Coral Springs, Florida, USA

Session: New and Emerging Toolsand Techniques for the Study of Biogeochemistry in Wetlands

Work Supported by USGS Greater Everglades Priority Ecosystems Studies Program (Nick Aumen, Program Manager)



The Biogeochemistry of Sulfur in Wetlands

Many Chemical Forms and Oxidation States
Dissolved and Solid Phase
Biologically-mediated and non-biological chemical reactions



Importance of Sulfur in Wetlands

•Sulfate drives microbial sulfate reduction, an important anaerobic process in many wetlands; role in CARBON CYCLING and MERCURY METHYLATION

•Sulfide (major endproduct of microbial sulfate reduction) is toxic and highly reactive:

-toxic to vascular plants and animals

-sulfide highly reactive with metals; control on metal speciation -sulfide reacts with organic matter to form organic sulfur species

Sampling and Analytical Considerations

•Many different sulfur species requiring many types of analytical approaches; soild phase, dissolved, and gaseous forms of sulfur

•Many sulfur species are redox sensitive; require precautions to avoid oxidation and loss of constituents (e.g. anoxic conditions, stabilization in the field)



Tracing Sources of Sulfur to Wetlands Using Stable Isotopes

Method:

-Isolation and precipitation of sulfur species -Analysis using an IRMS

• The S isotope trend line converges on a value of about +16 per mil. Agricultural sulfur used in the EAA has a similar S

• As sulfate concentrations increase, a trend line in the S isotope values emerges, indicating that a single source is dominating

• At low sulfate concentrations S isotope values span a broad range, indicating multiple sources



Use of Sulfur and Oxygen Isotopes of Sulfate to Trace Redox Processes



•Sulfate concentration decreases and δ³⁴S decreases across marsh due to fractionation from microbial sulfate reduction

•At end of transect δ^{18} O trends toward δ^{18} O of water due to sulfide oxidation

Sulfite and Thiosulfate

Intermediates in the microbial reduction of sulfate to sulfide
Role in wetland biogeochemistry is poorly understood

Analysis:

- -derivatization in the field with 2,2'-dithiobis(5-nitropyridine) ("DTNP")
- -isolation (same day) on solid phase (C18) cartridges
- -store cartridges at 5 °C for up to 2 weeks

-analysis after elution of analytes with methanol from cartridge by HPLC/UV-Vis/MS using Waters Nova-Pak C-18 3.9 x 150 mm reversed-phase column or equivalent.



Speciation of Sulfur in Wetland Soils





Speciation of Sulfur in Wetland Soils

Sulfur K-edge XANES Prietzel et al. (2009). Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 172, 393–409



Peaks at various energies represent different S species (2469–2472 eV: inorganic sulfide, 2473 eV: organic sulfide, 2476 eV: sulfoxide; 2479 eV: sulfite; 2480 eV: sulfone; 2481 eV: sulfonate; 2482–2483 eV: ester sulfate and sulfate).



Experimental Methods for Examining Sulfur Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Mesocosms



but exchange is slow

-Randomized block design

Sulfide response to addition of sulfate to mesocosms

Experimental Methods for Examining Sulfur Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Microcosms



•Addition of sulfate to the culture increased MeHg production relative to the control.

• Addition of sulfide to the culture decreased MeHg production relative to the control.





Modeling of Sulfur in Wetlands

•Existing landscape models can be used as a base to construct sulfur models with the addition of specialty modules that describe movement of sulfur species.



Modeling of Sulfur in Wetlands

•Addition of a module relating sulfur and methyl mercury

MeHg Risk (Dimensionless)



Conclusions

•Sulfur biogeochemistry in wetlands is complex, with many forms and redox states (+6 to -4) present

• Much of the complexity is driven by microbial processes, especially microbial sulfate reduction

•Biogeochemical processes in wetlands affected by sulfur include carbon and nutrient cycling, trace metal speciation and solubility, and mercury methylation



•Stable isotope analysis of sulfur species is an important for examining sources Of sulfur to wetland ecosystems, and in following biogeochemical processes

•Analytical methods employed in sulfur species analysis include colorimetric, electrochemical, chromatographic, spectroscopic, and wet chemical methods

•Experimental methods employing field mesocosms and laboratory microcosms allows manipulation of isolated portions of the wetland to examine biogeochemical processes involving sulfur and other elements

•Modeling of sulfur biogeochemistry can provide important insights that drive additional field and experimental studies